IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH
AT HYDERABAD

(Special Original Jurisdiction)

FRIDAY, THE THIRD DAY OF SEPTEMBER
TWO THOUSAND AND FOUR

PRESENT

THE HON'BLE DR JUSTICE G.YETHIRAJULU

WRIT PETITION NO: 15829 of 2004

Between:

K. Narasimha Reddy, S/o Rama Reddy,
(E 210481), APSRTC, Dilsukhnagar Bus Depot, Hyderabad.

..... PETITIONER

AND

1 The Regional Manager, APSRTC-I, Hyderabad City Region,
JBS, Picket, Secunderabad.
2 The Depot Manager, APSRTC, Dilsukhnagar, Bus Depot,

Hyderabad.

.....RESPONDENTS

Petition under Article 226 of the constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the Affidavit filed herein the High Court will be pleased to issue a Writ or Order or Direction more particularly one in the nature of writ of Mandamus declaring that the action of the respondents in not regularizing the services of the petitioner as Driver Grade II as bad, arbitrary, unjust, unreasonable and contrary to the seniority/panel and consequently direct the respondents to regularize the services of the petitioner with effect from the date of regularization of services of his juniors by allowing all consequential benefits

Counsel for the Petitioner: MR.P.VENKATESWAR RAO

Counsel for the Respondents: MR.V.T.M.PRASAD (SC for APSRTC)

The Court at the stage of admission made the following:

HONOURABLE DR. JUSTICE G.YETHIRAJULU

WRIT PETITION NO.15829 OF 2004

ORDER:

The petitioner claims that he was appointed as a Driver Grade-II through the order dated 10-04-2001 after undergoing a regular selection process. He has been working since 2001, but his services were not regularized.

2 . The grievance of the petitioner is that though the respondent regularized the services of his juniors B.Chenna Reddy (E-210483),B.Anjaiah (E-210838), G.J.Reddy (E-210425), P.Bala Krishna Reddy (E-210485), they did not consider his case on the ground that they did not receive the particulars of the working days from Midhani Depot and Barkatpura Depot for the period during which he worked in those depots.

3. The petitioner contends that since there is no mistake on his part, he shall not be made to suffer for the mistake of the staff members of the respondent-Corporation. He made a representation to the respondent No.1 in June, 2004 by enclosing the service particulars of the missing attendance in Barkatpura and Midhani Bus Depots. But no orders have been passed by the first respondent on his request for regularization. He therefore, approached this Court seeking a direction to the first respondent to regularize his services.

4. In the light of the circumstances explained by the petitioner, and in view of the regularization of the services of his junior employees, the first respondent is directed to pass appropriate orders on the representation of the petitioner regarding his request for regularization, within two months from the date of receipt of a copy of this order.

5. The Writ Petition is accordingly disposed of. No order as to costs.

Dr. G.YETHIRAJULU, J

03rd September, 2004

SKM

To

1 The Regional Manager, APSRTC-I, Hyderabad City Region,
JBS, Picket, Secunderabad.
2 The Depot Manager, APSRTC, Dilsukhnagar, Bus Depot,

Hyderabad.

3 Two CD copies.